

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,906	12/13/2000	Daniel R. Michelson	207950	8383	
23460	7590 10/23/2003		EXAMINER		
	LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			SAADAT, CAMERON	
	PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 DRTH STETSON AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780		3713		
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003	, ((

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		·				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/736,906	MICHELSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cameron Saadat	3713			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In majors of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
•	ion of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-20</u> is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) 1, 3-20 is/are rejected.					
· _	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 6	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
		 				

DETAILED ACTION

In response to Request for Continued Examination filed 7/30/03, claims 1 and 3-20 are pending in this application. Claim 2 was previously cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-13, 16, and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amtex Corporation (EP 0782338A2) in view of Suzuki et al. (USPN 6,067,070; hereinafter Suzuki).

Regarding claims 1, 9, 12, and 18, Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke system and method comprising a video image capturing device 24 for processing a video image of a karaoke performer 26; a karaoke medium player for retrieving audio signals and an indicia image of a song from a karaoke medium wherein the indicia image contains words 20 for the song (column

11, line 33). It further discloses a means for downscaling and repositioning (column 4, lines 4-5) the image of the karaoke performer and removing a portion of the background image from the image of the karaoke performer to form a modified image of the karaoke performer (column 16, lines 41-52); a means to composite the modified image of the karaoke performer with the indicia image to provide an output video image for display on a video display (column 11, lines 28-36).

Amtex Corporation forms a modified image of the karaoke performer by vertically and horizontally downscaling and repositioning, and removing background image. It is not explicitly stated that the scaling features may be applied to the indicia image. However, Suzuki discloses a display control apparatus wherein an indicia image is scaled to fit and simultaneously share a display with another image (See Abstract). Hence, it would have been an obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the scaling feature described by Amtex Corporation, by scaling the indicia image in order to allow both images to be displayed simultaneously, such that the indicia image and the image of the karaoke performer do not overlap and conceal one another.

Regarding claim 3 and 10, Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke system and image processing device wherein the means to composite comprises overlaying the indicia image on the image of the karaoke performer to form an output video image (column 11, lines 32-36).

Regarding claims 4, 11, 13, and 19 Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke system wherein the image processing means removes the background image in the image of the performer completely (column 16, lines 41-52).

Regarding claim 5, Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke system wherein the means for downscaling and compositing are implemented in a stand-alone device separate from he karaoke medium player (see Figure 22, ref 30).

Regarding claim 6, Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke system wherein the means for downscaling and compositing are implemented as components of the karaoke medium player (see Figure 19, ref 30).

Regarding claim 16, Amtex corporation discloses a karaoke system wherein the video processing circuit 4 includes a subcode processor 18 for receiving a stream of subcode data retrieved from the karaoke medium representing the indicia image and modifying the subcode data to effect the repositioning with the indicia image control 50a (see Figure 17).

4. Claims 7, 14, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amtex Corporation (EP 0782338A2) in view of Suzuki et al. (USPN 6,067,070; hereinafter Suzuki), further in view of Kim (U.S. Patent No. 5,506,690).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, the combination of Amtex Corporation and Suzuki discloses all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of not specifically disclosing a compact-disk-plus-graphics (CD+G) disk. However, Kim teaches the use of a compact-disk-plus-graphics disk for a karaoke medium player (column 1, lines 18-20). In view of Kim, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the karaoke medium described in the combination of Amtex Corporation and Suzuki so that it utilizes a compact-disk-graphics disk because such a disk is capable of storing video and audio information, including song text information, which is necessary for a karaoke medium player.

Regarding claims 15 and 17, Amtex Corporation discloses a karaoke medium player wherein the video processing circuit composites the indicia image with an external video image and video processing circuit 4 also includes a subcode processor 18 which sends the modified subcode data to a microprocessor 50a (see Figure 17). The combination of Amtex Corporation

Art Unit: 3713

and Suzuki discloses all of the claimed subject matter with the exception of not specifically disclosing a CD+G decoder. However, Kim teaches a video processing circuit that includes a compact-disk-plus-graphics disk and decoder (column 1, lines 18-20). In view of Kim, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the video processing circuit as described in the combination of Amtex Corporation and Suzuki by providing a CD+G decoder thereby allowing the use of a (CD+G) disk for storage, which is capable of storing video and audio information, including song text information that can be easily extracted with a video processing circuit.

5. Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amtex Corporation (EP 0782338A2) in view of Suzuki et al. (USPN 6,067,070; hereinafter Suzuki), further in view of Cookson et al. (USPN 6,148,139 B1; hereinafter Cookson).

Regarding claims 8 and 20, the combination of Amtex Corporation and Suzuki discloses all of the claimed subject matter including a means for downscaling an indicia image vertically and horizontally, but does not specifically teach that the image is downscaled by selectively dropping lines of the image. However, it is the examiner's position that this form of downscaling is notoriously well know in the art. Furthermore, Cookson discloses a mixing medium for karaoke applications including a graphics downscaling means. Cookson additionally discloses that vertical downscaling by dropping lines is notoriously well known. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the downscaling means described in the combination of Amtex Corporation and Suzuki, by utilizing vertical downscaling by dropping lines in the image in order to provide a standardized scaling means.

Art Unit: 3713

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - Kumar et al. (USPN 6,514,083 B1) disclose a karaoke medium wherein an image of a singer is superimposed with an image of lyrics simultaneously.

Page 6

- Yoshio et al. (USPN 5,130,966) discloses a method of mixing text images with a moving picture.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 8. examiner should be directed to Cameron Saadat whose telephone number is 703-305-5490. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

J Wallerg

Group 3700